

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,507	08/07/2003	Koichi Uezono	241265US2	8479
22850	7590 10/26/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			LE, THANH TAM T	
	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2839	

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/635,507	UEZONO, KOICHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	Thanh-Tam T. Le	2839	المهجها
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resepty within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this com ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			•
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	September 2004.	•	
,	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		•
Application Papers	·		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 September 2004 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ he drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National S	tage
AMaahar autta)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 9	summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (FTO-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-	152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4, "said second connector cavity is arranged at a same position relative to press contact terminals on the reverse surface" are confusing. That limitations are not described in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamane et al. (6,447,325).

Yamane et al., figures 1 and 6A-6B, disclose an electric connection box comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/635,507 Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

 a wiring board (16) configured to have a first electric wire (15) arranged on an obverse surface and configured to have a second electric wire (15) arranged on a reverse surface;

- an obverse cover (9A) arranged on the obverse surface of the wiring board including a first connector cavity;
- a reverse cover (9B) arranged on the reverse surface of the wiring board including a second connector cavity; and
- a press contact terminal including a press contact edge portion (20a)
 configured to be pressed onto the first electric wire, and a tab portion (20)
 erected in the first connector cavity;

wherein the press contact terminal is configured to press the press contact edge portion onto the first electric wire from a side where first the electric wire is arranged, thereby selectively erecting the tab portion on the obverse surface.

Regarding claim 3, the press contact edge portion is arranged at a right angle to the tab portion.

Regarding claim 4, in sofar at that can be understood, Yamane et al. ('325) disclose the first connector cavity is arranged at a position relative to press contact terminals on the obverse surface and the second connector cavity is arranged at a same position to press contact terminals on the reverse surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,507 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamane et al. (6,447,325) in view of Yamane et al. (6,494,723).

Yamane et al. ('325) disclose the instant claimed invention as described above except for the tab portion is erected on each of the obverse surface and the reverse surface.

Yamane et al. ('723), figure 11, disclose a terminal (1030) having a connection portion (1032) and a lead portion (1033), the tab portion is erected on each of the obverse surface and the reverse surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yamane et al. ('325) to have the terminal as taught by Yamane et al. ('723), in order to have an electrical connection between the wire and the circuit board in both surfaces.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,507

Art Unit: 2839

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh-Tam T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2094. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TC Patel can be reached on 571-272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TL. 10/18/04. - Ve T. Le Page 5